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A B S T R A C T

Introduction and objectives: Carotid intima-media thickness as measured with ultrasonography is an inexpensive and noninvasive predictor of cardiovascular events. The objectives of this study were to determine the population reference ranges of carotid intima-media thickness for individuals aged 35–84 years in Spain and to analyze the association of carotid intima-media thickness with cardiovascular risk factors (age, smoking, diabetes, pulse pressure, lipid profile, and body mass index).

Methods: Population-based cross-sectional study conducted in Gerona (Spain). We described the mean and maximal values of carotid intima-media thickness of the carotid artery and of its 3 segments (common carotid, carotid bulb and internal carotid). We assessed cardiovascular risk factors and analyzed their association with carotid intima-media thickness using adjusted linear regression models.

Results: A total of 3161 individuals (54% women) were included, with mean age 58 years. Men showed significantly higher mean common carotid intima-media thickness than did women (0.71 vs 0.67 mm). The strongest predictors of this measure were age (coefficients for 10-year increase: 0.65 and 0.58 for women and men, respectively), smoking in men (coefficient: 0.26), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in women (coefficient for 10 mg/dL increase: −0.08) and pulse pressure in both sexes (coefficients for 10 mmHg increase: 0.08 and 0.23 for women and men, respectively). The results were similar for the mean carotid intima-media thickness of all the segments.

Conclusions: This population-based study presents the reference ranges for carotid intima-media thickness in the Spanish population. The main determinants of carotid intima-media thickness were age and pulse pressure in both sexes.

© 2012 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Grosor intima-media carotídeo en población española: valores de referencia y asociación con los factores de riesgo cardiovascular

R E S U M E N

Introducción y objetivos: El grosor intima-media carotídeo medido por ultrasonografía es un predictor de acontecimientos cardiovasculares barato y no invasivo. Se analizaron los valores de referencia del grosor intima-media carotídeo en población española de 35–84 años y su asociación con los factores de riesgo cardiovascular (edad, tabaquismo, diabetes mellitus, presión de pulso, perfil lipídico e índice de masa corporal).

Métodos: Estudio transversal de base poblacional llevado a cabo en Girona (España). Se describieron los grosores intima-medios y máximo de la arteria carótida y sus tres segmentos (carótida común, interna y bulbo carotídeo). Se recogió información sobre factores de riesgo cardiovascular y se analizó su asociación con el grosor intima-media carotídeo mediante modelos de regresión lineal.

Resultados: Se incluyó a 3.161 sujetos (el 54% mujeres), con una media de edad de 58 años. La medición del grosor intima-media carotídeo común fue mayor en los varones que en las mujeres (0,71 frente a 0,67 mm). Los principales predictores de esta medida fueron la edad (coefficiente para incremento de 10 años, 0,65 y 0,58 en varones y mujeres respectivamente), el tabaquismo en los varones (coefficiente, 0,26), el colesterol unido a lipoproteínas de alta densidad en las mujeres (coefficiente para incremento de
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INTRODUCCIÓN

Cardiovasculares enfermedades son las principales causas de muerte en países occidentales. El factor común de esta lista de enfermedades es la arteriosclerosis, una afección degenerativa que se desarrolla en la pared arterial debido a la acumulación de placas ateroscleróticas. Estas placas pueden llevar a la formación de trombos, que pueden colapsar un vaso sanguíneo dando lugar a un accidente cerebrovascular. Las ventajas del ultrasonido carotídeo son que es no invasivo, relativamente inexpensivo, y no requiere sedación; por lo tanto, este procedimiento puede repetirse sin efectos adversos en el participante.

Previos estudios han mostrado la aparición de IMT en diferentes segmentos del carótida con ciertos factores de riesgo cardiovascular, como niveles de colesterol HDL y LDL, presión arterial, tabaquismo, y antecedentes familiares de enfermedad cardiovascular. Sin embargo, los datos sobre IMT y enfermedad cardiovascular en países hispanohablantes son escasos.

MÉTODOS

Estudio diseñado y población

El Registro de Girona del Cor (REGICOR) incluye 1,074,338 individuos mayores de 18 años, de los cuales un 53.7% son hombres y un 46.3% son mujeres. Los participantes fueron seleccionados de forma aleatoria y se les realizó una medición de IMT en todos los segmentos de la arteria carótida.

Recogida de datos

Los datos fueron recogidos mediante una encuesta telefónica y una cookie de DNA para el gen de la apoE. Los datos incluyeron antecedentes médicos, tabaquismo, presión arterial, y colesterol. Los antecedentes familiares de enfermedad cardiovascular fueron recogidos a través de una entrevista telefónica.

Análisis estadístico

Los datos fueron analizados mediante el software SPSS 20.0 y se realizaron análisis descriptivos y multivariados. Se utilizaron modelos de regresión lineal para determinar la asociación entre IMT y los diferentes factores de riesgo cardiovascular.

Resultados

Se obtuvieron resultados estadísticamente significativos para los factores de riesgo cardiovascular, como presión arterial, colesterol, y tabaquismo. Se encontró una asociación positiva entre IMT y estos factores. Se encontró una relación inversa entre IMT y antecedentes familiares de enfermedad cardiovascular.

Conclusiones

Los resultados del estudio REGICOR demuestran la importancia de la recolección de datos de riesgo cardiovascular en países hispanohablantes. La medición de IMT puede ser una herramienta valiosa para el diagnóstico y seguimiento de enfermedades cardiovasculares. Los resultados de este estudio pueden utilizar para la implementación de programas de prevención y tratamiento de enfermedades cardiovasculares en países hispanohablantes.
at the 6 sites were combined in an unweighted average to estimate the ACA IMT.

We performed a repeatability analysis in 42 subjects that were examined by 3 sonographers in 2 different visits. Due to missing data, in the final dataset subjects had an average of 4.3 measures (ranging from 2-6). The intraclass correlation coefficients between sonographers and within each sonographer’s results for the mean CCA were 0.83 and 0.85, respectively. The coefficient of variation was 7.3% and the maximum within-subject (absolute) difference had an average of 0.098 mm.

**Measurements**

Examinations were performed by a team of trained nurses and interviewers. A precision scale of easy calibration was used for weight and height measurement with participants in underwear and barefoot. BMI was determined as weight divided by squared height (kg/m²). Blood pressure was measured with a periodically calibrated sphygmomanometer (OMRON 711). A cuff adapted to the upper arm perimeter (young, adult, obese) was selected for each participant. Measurements were performed in a seated position after a 5-min rest. Two measurements were taken and the lower value was recorded for the study. Pulse pressure was calculated as the difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure (mmHg).

Standardized questionnaires were used to collect sociodemographic and lifestyle variables, and the previous history and treatments for diabetes, hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. Current smoking was defined as actively smoking within the preceding year.

Blood was withdrawn after 10-14 h of fasting. Total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentrations were determined by direct methodology (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

Coronary risk in participants aged 35-74 years was calculated by the REGICOR function adapted from the original Framingham function and validated for the Spanish population.15

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were summarized as mean (standard deviation) or median [interquartile range] when their distribution departed from normal, and categorical variables as proportions. The 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th, and 95th percentiles of the mean and maximal carotid IMT measures were estimated and stratified by 10-year age groups and sex, as in previous studies.5,16–18 Percentiles were also estimated for the subset of individuals who did not smoke, had optimal levels of cardiovascular risk factors (ie, HDL-C>40 mg/dL, BMI<30 kg/m²) and did not have hypertension, diabetes or hypercholesterolemia. We also computed the correlation index between the carotid IMT measures and the 10-year coronary risk in the subset of individuals aged 35-74 years.

To estimate the association between the different measures of carotid IMT and the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, we fitted 2 linear regression models for each carotid IMT measurement and sex. The first was only adjusted for age and the second was further adjusted for pulse pressure, diabetes, smoking, total and HDL-C and BMI.

Statistical analysis was done with the R Statistical Package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; Version 2.13.0).

**RESULTS**

A total of 3161 individuals were included (54% women) with a mean age 58 years. The IMT of the 3 segments of the carotid artery
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(ie, CCA, CB, and ICA) and ACA IMT were significantly higher in men. BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse pressure and the prevalence of diabetes, hypertension and smoking were also significantly higher in men, whereas total and HDL-C were significantly higher in women (Table 1).

Percentiles of Carotid Intima-media Thickness Measures

Figure 1 shows the percentiles of mean and maximal CCA and ACA IMT across different ages by sex, respectively. The variability of carotid IMT values (difference between the minimum [5th] and
the maximal [95th] percentiles] tended to increase with age in both sexes. The percentiles of mean and maximal CB and ICA IMT also showed similar trends (Fig. 2 supplementary material). The 50th percentile for the subset of women and men with optimal levels of cardiovascular risk factors (n=468 and n=306, respectively) was slightly lower than that observed for all participants. The absolute values defining all percentiles are shown in supplementary material (Tables 1-4).

Association of Carotid Intima-media Thickness With Cardiovascular Risk Factors and 10-Year Coronary Risk

The estimated coefficients for the crude association of age (10 years) and mean and maximal CCA IMT were 0.73 mm (95% confidence interval [95%CI], 0.68-0.78) and 0.81 mm (95%CI, 0.75-0.86) in women, respectively, and 0.71 mm (95%CI, 0.65-0.77) and 0.83 mm (95%CI, 0.75-0.91) in men. The coefficients for age remained significant when we further adjusted the models for cardiovascular risk factors. We also found significant positive associations of CCA IMT with pulse pressure and BMI in both sexes and with smoking, particularly in men. In contrast, HDL-C showed a significant negative association with CCA IMT only in women (Table 2).

Age (10 years) was also associated with mean and maximal ACA IMT: 0.65 mm (95%CI, 0.61–0.70) and 0.76 mm (95%CI, 0.70–0.82) in women, respectively, and 0.67 mm (95%CI, 0.62–0.72) and 0.81 mm (95%CI, 0.74–0.88) in men. Age remained as the main determinant of ACA IMT in the models adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors. Similarly to CCA IMT, ACA IMT showed significant positive associations with pulse pressure in both sexes and with smoking in men, and negative associations with HDL-C in both men and women. In contrast, ACA IMT was also significantly associated with total cholesterol and no association was found with BMI (Table 3). Additional models considering CB IMT and ICA IMT are shown in supplementary material (Tables 5, 6, respectively).

The quartiles of CCA IMT and coronary risk at 10 years showed a consistent correlation across 10-year age groups in individuals aged 35-74 years (Fig. 2). Similarly, significant correlations were found for 10-year coronary risk and the remaining measures of carotid IMT (Figs. 3-5, supplementary material).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we present the reference ranges of mean and maximal carotid IMT in a Spanish population aged 35-84 years free of cardiovascular disease. The availability of reference carotid IMT ranges could be helpful to assess the presence of subclinical disease in clinical practice. In the studied population, carotid IMT measures were systematically higher in men than in women and were associated with age, pulse pressure and HDL-C. In addition, smoking was a significant determinant of carotid IMT only in men. Our results also showed that carotid IMT values consistently correlated with the coronary risk at 10 years estimated by the Framingham-REGICOR risk function. Finally, carotid IMT values in individuals with optimal levels of cardiovascular risk factors may reflect the natural progression of subclinical atherosclerosis.

**Comparison With Previous Studies**

A recent European study including 3711 subjects with at least 3 risk factors reported that latitude was an important determinant

Table 2

Association Between Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Average Mean and Maximal Common Carotid Artery Intima-media Thickness (mm) by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>(0.59-0.71)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>(0.63-0.78)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.09-0.26)</td>
<td>.325</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>(0.11-0.31)</td>
<td>.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.22-0.95)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(0.33-0.23)</td>
<td>.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>(0.04-0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>(0.06-0.17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(0.01-0.02)</td>
<td>.708</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.01-0.03)</td>
<td>.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>(0.14-0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>(0.00-0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.00-0.03)</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.00-0.03)</td>
<td>.150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CCA, common carotid artery; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; IMT, intima-media thickness.

Model adjusted for age (10 years), smoker, diabetes, pulse pressure (10 mmHg), total cholesterol (10 g/dL), HDL-C (10 g/dL) and BMI.

Table 3

Association Between Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Average Mean and Maximal All-carotid Artery Intima-media Thickness (mm) by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>(0.51-0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>(0.58-0.74)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>(0.07-0.26)</td>
<td>.269</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(0.02-0.42)</td>
<td>.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>(0.26-0.19)</td>
<td>.781</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.27-0.30)</td>
<td>.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.05-0.14)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>(0.06-0.17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(0.01-0.04)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>(0.01-0.05)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>(0.12-0.01)</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>(0.15-0.02)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(0.01-0.01)</td>
<td>.986</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>(0.02-0.01)</td>
<td>.397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACA, all carotid artery; BMI, body mass index; 95%CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; IMT, intima-media thickness.

Model adjusted for age (10 years), smoker, diabetes, pulse pressure (10 mmHg), total cholesterol (10 g/dL), HDL-C (10 g/dL) and BMI.
of carotid IMT independently of the between-country differences in established cardiovascular risk factors.\textsuperscript{19} Indeed, this association parallels the well-known north-to-south cardiovascular mortality gradient.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, IMT reference ranges could differ among countries or regions.

Although the proposed reference ranges of carotid IMT are very similar to those described by 2 previous studies also conducted in Spain, those studies presented some important limitations.\textsuperscript{9,10} First, they may have been affected by selection bias because the authors analyzed convenience samples instead of randomly recruited samples. Second, the modest sample size of those studies limited the robustness of estimators, particularly when the analyses were stratified by age group and sex. To guarantee the representativeness of the population, the estimations performed in the present study were based on a much larger sample than in these 2 previous studies, and the participants were randomly selected from the census.

Our results are also similar to those observed in two German studies, Gutenberg Heart\textsuperscript{16} and CAPS (Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study);\textsuperscript{20} and in the VITA (Vascular Interventions/Innovations and Therapeutic Advances) study\textsuperscript{7} from Italy and the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities) study from the United States.\textsuperscript{5} However, the results of the Camp study, also conducted in the Italian population with a maximum of 1 risk factor, were particularly surprising because carotid IMT values were much higher than those we found in the Spanish population.\textsuperscript{18} The Rotterdam Study in the Netherlands also reported higher values than ours; notably, their recruited participants were aged 55 and older.\textsuperscript{6} Therefore, a cautious interpretation of the results found in the most important population-based studies on carotid IMT is required because the age range of participants, the main determinant of carotid IMT, and other inclusion criteria have not been the same in all the studies.

We also provide the reference ranges in a population free of classical cardiovascular risk factors that mainly reflect the natural history of carotid atherosclerosis related to age. These results are also similar to those reported in the Gutenberg-Heart study.\textsuperscript{16}

### Selection of Cardiovascular Disease Primary Prevention Candidates

The added value of carotid IMT for cardiovascular risk prediction beyond classical risk factors remains controversial.\textsuperscript{21,22} Several points may suggest the usefulness of carotid IMT for improving cardiovascular risk stratifications. First, this measure is associated with cardiovascular risk factors and coronary risk estimations already observed in previous studies\textsuperscript{5–7} and corroborated in the Spanish population. Second, the correct correlation between carotid IMT and the presence of coronary injuries as...
observed on coronary angiograms could be important. Finally, carotid IMT has a role as a predictor of cardiovascular disease events observed in several population-based studies and confirmed in a meta-analysis. However, the performance of carotid IMT for the screening of cardiovascular risk at a population scale is currently under discussion. Simon et al. justified this low performance by the modest absolute risk associated with increased carotid IMT (above 1 mm), which was 1%-2% per year for coronary heart disease prediction.

To assess the utility of carotid IMT for coronary heart disease risk prediction, several studies have analyzed the discrimination and reclassification capacity beyond classical cardiovascular risk factors with diverse results. For instance, 2 European studies (the CAPS and the Three-City Study) reported no significant improvement in the predictive capacity when including carotid IMT in the models. Conversely, a recent meta-analysis showed that the assessment of carotid plaque resulted in higher diagnostic accuracies for the prediction of future myocardial infarction. The Three-City Study investigators also pointed out the usefulness of ultrasound assessment of carotid plaques for coronary heart disease risk prediction. However, the results of the ARIC Study suggest that not only the inclusion of the carotid IMT values improves the predictive properties of the models over considering classical cardiovascular risk factors only, but also the presence of atherosclerotic plaque.

**Association With Classical Risk Factors**

In this study, age was the strongest determinant of carotid IMT. This association was very clear in the subgroup of participants without risk factors. Similar to other studies, sex was also associated with IMT, with men showing higher values than women across all ages. Classical risk factors were consistently associated with carotid IMT in both men and women. Our results concur with those recently reported in the IMPROVE study, in which sex, age, smoking, and pulse pressure were the strongest determinants of carotid IMT. The authors of the EVA (The Vascular Aging) study pointed out that pulse pressure may reflect an increase in arterial stiffness and could be a better predictor than systolic blood pressure, particularly in elderly subjects. The lack of association between smoking and IMT in women in our study could be related to the inverse association between smoking prevalence and age in women.

**Limitations**

This study has several potential limitations. First, we described the reference ranges of carotid IMT in Spain using a single-center cohort from an area in north-eastern Spain. However, previous studies have shown that the variability in the prevalence of cardiovascular risk was relatively low across Spain's autonomous communities. Therefore, similarly minor differences should be expected in carotid IMT values in the same context. In addition, a similar single-area design has been used to provide reference values for carotid IMT in Italy and Germany. Second, selection bias may affect any cross-sectional study, but is likely to be modest in magnitude in this case since the study was population-based and the participants were not selected on the basis of the presence or absence of altered carotid IMT. Third, the cross-sectional design does not allow estimation of causal association. Further population-based cohort studies are needed in southern Europe to ascertain the causal association between carotid IMT progression and the incidence of cardiovascular events and to possibly define the cut-off point to start an aggressive intervention. All the carotid IMT measures in this study were done according to a standard protocol validated in previous studies and were interpreted by the same senior reader to avoid measurement errors. However, differences in the ultrasound technology, image capture, carotid artery segmentation and method of measuring IMT could introduce variability affecting the results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this population-based study show the reference ranges of carotid IMT in the Spanish population. The main determinants of carotid IMT were age and pulse pressure. In addition, coronary risk increased with the quartiles of carotid IMT independently of age.
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