Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome characterized by signs of congestion [1]. Milder forms of pulmonary congestion can be difficult for clinicians to evaluate, thus there is a need for new objective, noninvasive, and without adverse effects approaches to its assessment. Bioimpedance can be used to evaluate tissue hydration [2], as increased fluids lead to less resistance to electric currents, thus lower measurement values, and vice versa. In this study, we investigated a novel system consisting of a wearable vest with four textile electrodes mounted in two pairs on a flexible belt, ensuring that the inter-electrode distance is fixed (Fig. 1.A). The aim was to assess whether the bioimpedance data captured could track clinical improvements in the signs and symptoms of congestion due to acutely decompensated HF (ADHF).

Twenty patients admitted to the cardiology ward for ADHF were included (mean age 74.7 ± 9.5, 45% ischaemic, LVEF 37.0 ± 12.5). All signed the informed consent and had no exclusion criteria (comorbidities that would limit life expectancy for the following year; a thoracic implanted device (other than Medtronic); acute ST-elevated myocardial infarction; severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; severe aortic valve regurgitation; aortic aneurysm; intra- and extra-cardiac shunts; acute pulmonary embolism; pregnant or breastfeeding; and history of heart transplant or listed for heart transplant). First measurement was made <48 h from admission to the hospital. Mean duration of hospital admission was 9.4 ± 4.3 days.

Blood sampling and echocardiography were performed during index admission. Bioimpedance (taken in a semi-recumbent position with the ward couch lifted to 30° for 5 min) and weight measurement were made on the inclusion day, the three subsequent days, and at discharge, together with an assessment of the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class and a clinician-assessed HF severity score (HFSS) based on Framingham congestion criteria [3] to assess HF decompensation [4, 5]. The study was reviewed and approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee.

The device measures sixteen different frequencies, from 10 kHz to 1 MHz, distributed logarithmically and a nonstandard one-lead ECG. The fourCole [6] were fitted to the measured bioimpedance spectra [7, 8]:

$$Z = R_0 \frac{R_e - R_0}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^{\alpha}}$$

where $R_0$ reflects extracellular fluids, $R_e$ reflects intracellular fluids, $f$ is the tissue relaxation time, and $\alpha$ reflects tissue heterogeneity. Changes in $R_0$ were used to find correlations against standard markers of fluid change, congestion, and disease severity (weight, HFSS, and NYHA functional class, respectively). Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used in a one-tailed hypothesis test, where a lower relative $R_0$ would reflect a worse clinical scenario. Furthermore, correlations against the absolute bioimpedance value were done with the presenting state congestion, as measured by values of NT-proBNP and HFSS. A significance level of 0.05 was assumed throughout.

Table 1 shows serial changes in clinical (HFSS, NYHA functional class, and weight) and bioimpedance ($R_0$) data during hospital admission. Upon discharge, 95% of the patients were in NYHA functional class I–II and 90% had reduced HFSS to values of clinical stability (HFSS ≤ 2), $R_0$ improved from admission to discharge in 90% of patients (Fig. 1.B for...
bloxplots and Fig. 1.C for a representative example of $R_0$ changes during hospitalization). The biggest change occurred on the first day, with subsequent smaller improvements thereafter. On day 3, almost three-quarters of the patients were at least 85% of their discharge bioimpedance. Daily fluid levels during hospitalization tracked by weight reduction until discharge, correlated well with relative $R_0$ changes ($r = -0.830, p < 0.001$), as did changes in clinical congestion level, as determined by the HFSS ($r = -0.537, p < 0.001$). Significant correlations were also found between bioimpedance and other routine parameters of HF severity, such as LVEF ($r = 0.450, p = 0.047$) and NT-proBNP levels ($r = -0.41, p = 0.038$).

Since absolute bioimpedance is known to exhibit high individual variability, a sequential feature search using a naïve Bayesian model, indicated that a combination of variables was more discriminative than using absolute $R_0$ alone. Those variables were the difference in $R_0$ compared to an estimated dry lung (linear regression model based on the

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFSS</td>
<td>4.08 ± 1.51 ***</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.70</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.75 n.s.</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.38 **</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA</td>
<td>II: 1, III: 5, IV: 14 ***</td>
<td>II: 5, III: 12, IV: 3 **</td>
<td>II: 9, III: 8 n.s.</td>
<td>II: 9, III: 7 *</td>
<td>I: 1, II: 18, III: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔWeight (kg)</td>
<td>3.79 ± 3.38 ***</td>
<td>2.45 ± 2.62 *</td>
<td>1.94 ± 1.84</td>
<td>1.75 ± 1.60 *</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ$R_0$ (Ohm)</td>
<td>$-7.71 ± 5.49$ ***</td>
<td>$-4.76 ± 5.74$</td>
<td>$-3.76 ± 5.02$ n.s.</td>
<td>$-2.96 ± 3.51$ **</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired t-tests were performed between subsequent days to determine whether the observed changes were significant. HFSS, heart failure severity score; NYHA, New York Heart Association class; Δ, difference to discharge.

* $p < 0.05$.
** $p < 0.01$.
*** $p < 0.001$. 

Fig. 1. Panel A. The portable bioimpedance vest. Measurement vest and semi-rigid electrode belt in position for measurement where the textile electrodes are in direct contact with the skin (not visible) and kept securely fastened with Velcro® strips (fastened on the side). Panel B. Box-plots showing relative improvements in $R_0\text{ from admission to discharge. Panel C. Progression of Cole–Cole model for a representative patient during treatment. } R_0\text{ values are circled on the x-axis.}$
morphological parameters of fat mass [9] and chest circumferences in healthy controls), heart rate, and the ratio of extra- to intracellular fluids ($R_o$ to $R_i$). The combination of these variables addresses body composition and morphology aspects, along with fluid distribution, which improved the identification of days with clinical decompensation (HFSS > 2). The resulting AUC was 0.76, as estimated by leave-patient-out cross validation.

This pilot study showed the ability of this new device to track recompensation during therapy for ADHF at a cardiac ward as seen in the strong agreement with fluid loss (weight changes). This is in line with results from implantable bioimpedance devices [10], but our study suggests slightly higher correlations, which could be explained because the presented device targets both lungs and uses a spectroscopic approach to estimate fluids.

The device described in this paper has several new advantages: It is a noninvasive and easy to use device; thus, it could be worn in a home setting as a tool for disease management to prevent readmission. It uses textile electrodes that do not harm the skin and a vest that is worn as a normal garment at the time of measurement keeping the electrodes in the same position each time. It can transmit data, so that the patient and doctor can be in different locations but still in contact. Limitations in our study are: some specific body types may need to have specific adjustments in the vest and belt to fit them properly. The number of participants is small, but similar in size to other studies that assessed recompensation via other bioimpedance techniques [10]. And the potential interaction between implantable devices and the bioimpedance vest that slowed down enrolment (see exclusion criteria). At present, it has been tested against the Medtronic InSync Sentry® device and no interference was detected.

Future studies are required to confirm whether clinical decision making in ADHF might benefit from this noninvasive, easy-to-use bioimpedance vest. They will also be required to test the ability of the proposed device to determine the risk of events after hospital discharge.
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