The blood-brain barrier: Structure, function and therapeutic approaches to cross it
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Abstract
The blood-brain barrier (BBB) is constituted by a specialized vascular endothelium that interacts directly with astrocytes, neurons and pericytes. It protects the brain from the molecules of the systemic circulation but it has to be overcome for the proper treatment of brain cancer, psychiatric disorders or neurodegenerative diseases, which are dramatically increasing as the population ages. In the present work we have revised the current knowledge on the cellular structure of the BBB and the different procedures utilized currently and those proposed to cross it. Chemical modifications of the drugs, such as increasing their lipophilicity, turn them more prone to be internalized in the brain. Other mechanisms are the use of molecular tools to bind the drugs such as small immunoglobulins, liposomes or nanoparticles that will act as Trojan Horses favoring the drug delivery in brain. This fusion of the classical pharmacology with nanotechnology has opened a wide field to many different approaches with promising results to hypothesize that BBB will not be a major problem for the new generation of neuroactive drugs. The present review provides an overview of all state-of-the-art of the BBB structure and function, as well as of the classic strategies and these appeared in recent years to deliver drugs into the brain for the treatment of Central Nervous System (CNS) diseases.
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Introduction
The precise communication between neurons requires the isolation from the rest of the body achieved by the blood-brain barrier (BBB), a highly specialized structure composed by different cell types that controls the movement of molecules from each compartment to the other. However in pathological processes affecting to Central Nervous System (CNS), the BBB hardly limits the targeting and delivery of the drugs used in their treatment. Most of the adopted strategies are directed to bypass the BBB permitting the drugs to reach its target in the CNS and include invasive methods as direct injection or even transient BBB disruption but the benefits do not always overcome the risks (Begley, 2004). Therefore drug design based on medicinal chemistry has been adopted. This strategy consists of modifying the physicochemical properties of the drug to increase its permeability across the BBB and to improve its targeting to the CNS. Nowadays nanotechnology-based approaches are raising as a procedure to deliver drugs without worrying about the nature of the molecules since they usually encapsulate any molecule, which masks any limiting physicochemical deficiency (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013). In order to facilitate CNS drug discovery, in vitro models could be implemented by using primary culture or cell lines that mimic BBB properties such as permeability, efflux pumps and high electrical resistance (Wolburg & Lippoldt, 2002).

BBB structure and physiology
Neurons need a constant supply of oxygen and nutrients being located no further than 8–20 µm of distance from capillaries (Schlageter et al., 1999) but brain homeostasis is critical and necessary because neurons are sensitive to many compounds and to subtle changes in their concentrations. To solve these requirements brain vessels are modified forming the BBB, a highly selective structural and biochemical barrier that maintains the intracerebral milieu supplying nutrients to the brain and protecting against environmental influences and harmful external agents.

BBB structure
Although brain capillaries are morphologically similar to those found in other tissues, brain vessels are functionally
bound to the other cells of the brain parenchyma. BBB consists of blood vessels built up by specialized endothelial cells (ECs), astrocytes, pericytes, and neuronal terminations (Abbott et al., 2006; Abbott & Romero, 1996; Risau & Wolburg, 1990). Astrocytes lay their end-feet over the continuous basal lamina and form a very restrictive barrier (Abbott et al., 2006; Abbott & Romero, 1996). Pericytes, a type of mesenchymal cell, occupy the perivascular space, between the capillary wall and astrocytes end-feet, except in the large vessels where smooth muscle cells replace them. Pericytes play a regulatory role in vasculature tone, stability, repair and angiogenesis (Kutcher & Herman, 2009), being also able to modulate astroglial function (Tamai & Tsuji, 2000; Thomas, 1999). Finally, neurons are also participating actively in this structure since neuronal terminations arrive to all cells forming the BBB (Hellstrom et al., 2001; Kacem et al., 1998) (Figure 1).

**Characteristics of the BBB ECs.** In order to achieve a more restrictive permeability, BBB ECs have specific characteristics compared to peripheral ECs: (i) A lower number of endocytic vesicles, which limits the transcellular flux; (ii) no fenestrations; (iii) high electrical resistance due to tight junctions that restrict the amount of paracellular flux; (iv) higher mitochondrial volume reflecting a high cell metabolism; and, (v) specialized transport systems (Brightman & Kadota, 1992; Rubin & Staddon, 1999). ECs are surrounded by the basal lamina, produced by pericytes (Lai & Kuo, 2005), an extracellular matrix containing collagens, glycoproteins, laminins, proteoglycans and other proteins (Abbott et al., 2006). Brain microvasculature permeability is highly affected by modifications of the basal membrane (Sarna et al., 1979) as occurs in stroke or inflammation producing generally edema due to BBB disruption (Perry et al., 1997).

**The binding of the ECs.** At the subcellular level, the main components responsible for the structural integrity of the BBB are the tight junctions (TJ) and the adherens junctions (AJ). TJ seal the ECs forming a continuous tubular structure, while the AJ play a major role in the initiation and maintenance of EC contact. In peripheral ECs, the TJ are placed apically and separated from AJ (Butt et al., 1990; Staddon & Rubin, 1996) but in BBB ECs they are close to each other (Schulze & Firth, 1993; Stamatovic et al., 2008), forming the junction complex between adjacent ECs. The junction complex consists of all the transmembrane proteins and accessory cytoplasmic proteins located on the apical side of the endothelium (Stamatovic et al., 2008). Transmembrane proteins interact directly inside the junctional complex, whereas cytoplasmic proteins serve as an anchor that binds the transmembrane proteins with the actin cytoskeleton (Petty & Lo, 2002). The high transendothelial electrical resistance in brain endothelium (TEER; >2000 ohm cm² compared with 2–20 ohm cm² resistance in peripheral capillaries) (Butt et al., 1990) is due to a better occlusion of the intercellular cleft by the TJ complex than in peripheral capillaries (Wolburg & Lippoldt, 2002). TJ integrity depends highly on intact AJ, where the cell–cell adhesion molecules are found.

**Tight junctions.** Located in the upper part of the apical section of the plasma membrane, TJ constitute the first and the most important seal to prevent the paracellular diffusion of solutes, also participating in the lateral diffusion regulation between both apical and basolateral plasma membrane domains maintaining plasma membrane protein and lipid polarity (Brown & Stow 1996; Gumbiner, 1987). They are composed of at least three major transmembrane proteins, claudin, which is exclusively located in TJ and have four membrane-spanning regions with two extracellular loops and two intracytoplasmic termini (Furuse et al., 1999; Gonzalez-Mariscal et al., 2000), occludin, a four-transmembrane segment protein with two equal extracellular loops and three intracytoplasmic domains (Bald et al., 2000), and junctional adhesion molecules (JAMs), which belong to the immunoglobulin superfamily (Bazzoni & Dejana, 2004; Petty & Lo, 2002). They all share a single transmembrane domain and two large immunoglobulin-like loops (Williams et al., 1999). JAMs are also involved in the physiological function of the junctions related to monocyte extravasation (Palmeri et al., 2000) (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Ocludins bind to zonula occludens protein 1 (ZO-1) through its C-terminal domain in all types of endothelium (Furuse et al., 1999), but in brain endothelium ZO-1 distribution is more continuous (Hirase et al., 1997) and there is a higher occludin expression. However, such high levels are not sufficient to ensure high-resistance junctions, so other levels of control are necessary to determine junctional properties. There are reports suggesting that the state of phosphorylation of junctional proteins could be involved in their activity (Lampugnani et al., 1997; Maher & Pasquale, 1988; Risau & Wolburg, 1990; Sarna et al., 1979). Actually, preliminary experiments show that occludin is more highly phosphorylated in cultured brain ECs than in peripheral ECs (Rubin & Staddon, 1999). Also, other proteins like the catenin p120 and p100 can be phosphorylated under stimuli that affect TJ permeability (Ratcliffe et al., 1997; Schlageter et al., 1999).

The third major protein components of TJ are JAMs, which belong to the immunoglobulin superfamily (Bazzoni &
Dejana, 2004). Beside these three major transmembrane proteins, there are some TJ accessory cytoplasmic proteins, distinguished by the presence or absence of a PDZ motif (Stamatovic et al., 2008) (Table 1). The PDZ motif is an 80–90 amino acid sequence located in carboxyl terminal mediating interaction with other proteins. The majority of the proteins included in this group have multiple PDZ domains.

Adherens junctions

AJ are essential in the maintenance of TJ and the junctional complex due to their role in keeping contiguous cells together. The main components of the AJ are transmembrane glycoproteins of the cadherin superfamily, mainly vascular endothelium cadherin (Ve-cadherin), that are able to form homotypic adhesive complexes with adjacent cells in the presence of Ca²⁺ (Petty & Lo, 2002). The glycoproteins are linked to the cytoskeleton through a cytoplasmic plaque by anchor proteins from the Armadillo superfamily such as α-catenin, ββ-catenin, γ-catenin and p120ctn (McCrea & Gumbiner, 1991; Nagafuchi & Takeichi, 1989). They all possess an Armadillo arm or repeat, which is a 42-aminoacid sequence that mediates binding to the cytoplasmic tail of the classical cadherins (Aono et al., 1999). A complex is formed between α-, β-, and γ-catenin, which is linked to the actin cytoskeleton through α-catenin (Lampugnani et al., 1995). Recently, a new catenin termed p120ctn was discovered (Bazzoni, 2006). Its function is still unclear but it has been reported that it presents a high affinity binding to Ve-cadherin suggesting certain relevance in BBB permeability and function (Bazzoni, 2006; Hatzfeld, 2005).

BBB maintenance. Transplantation experiments showed that the microenvironment is important in the induction of brain EC cellular properties (Schulze & Firth, 1993; Stewart & Wiley, 1981), opening the research of factors that stimulate BBB formation.

Astrocytes play a key role in BBB creation and maintenance (Janzer & Raff, 1987; Wolburg & Lippoldt, 2002) since astrocyte end-feet contact directly endothelial cells in a netlike structure (Kacem et al., 1998; Stanness et al., 1997). These projections show specific features like a high density of orthogonal arrays of particles (OAPs). They contain the

Table 1. Tight junction proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claudin</td>
<td>Generation of the high electrical resistance avoiding free access of ions through paracellular diffusion, to contribute to the selective regulation across TJ</td>
<td>Furuse et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heisig et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocludin</td>
<td>Maintenance of high resistance to allow the flux of non-charged solutes and to contribute to the selective diffusion regulation</td>
<td>Balda et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMs</td>
<td>Regulation of monocyte extravasation</td>
<td>Balda et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF motif</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nusrat et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGUK (ZO-1, 2 and 3)</td>
<td>Supporting and clustering many intracellular and cell surfaces components in the TJs</td>
<td>Palmeri et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Williams et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non PDF motif</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulin</td>
<td>Cross-linker between TJ proteins and actin-myosin cytoskeleton</td>
<td>Citi and Cordenonsi (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cordenonsi et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7H6</td>
<td>Maduration and maintenance of TJs</td>
<td>Satoh et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZONAB</td>
<td>Regulation of Erb transcription and paracellular permeability</td>
<td>Balda et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab13</td>
<td>Participation in the polarized transport at TJ complex</td>
<td>Satoh et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stamatovic (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>Involved in the regulation of polarization as well as in TJ assembly</td>
<td>Yamanaka et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterotrimeric G protein</td>
<td>TJ assembly and maintainance the transendothelial electrical resistance.</td>
<td>Stamatovic et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catenin (120 and p100)</td>
<td>Regulation of TJ permeability.</td>
<td>Ratcliffe et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. The tight junctions. Structure of the main proteins that form the tight junctions. They are proteins with extracellular domains that mediate physical interactions and intracellular domains that anchor to the cytoskeleton.
water channel aquaporin 4 (AQP4) and the Kir4.1K⁺, which endow BBB with ion and volume regulation capability. The presence of these proteins in astrocytes correlates with the expression of agrin, an heparan sulphate proteoglycan, on the basal lamina (Verkman, 2002; Wolburg & Lippoldt, 2002). Agrin is involved in the integrity of BBB and accumulates in brain microvessels when BBB tightens. In vitro co-cultures of astrocytes and adult brain ECs achieve high electrical resistances, a strong evidence of the astrocyte effect in leading to tighter TJ (Dehouck et al., 1990; Hayashi et al., 1997; Isobe et al., 1996; Stanness et al., 1997). Astrocytes can regulate BBB characteristics, like the expression and polarized location of transporters such as P-glycoprotein (Perry et al., 1997; Schinkel, 1999) and GLUT1 (Abbott et al., 2006; McAllister et al., 2001), and of specialized enzyme systems such as γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GTP) (Abbott, 2002; Haseloff et al., 2005; Hayashi et al., 1997; Sobue et al., 1999).

The effect of astrocytes over ECs is exerted by the secretion of numerous signalling molecules, including cytokines such as IL-6, neurotrophins (Hawkins & Davis, 2005; Ridet et al., 1997), and factors including transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (Igarashi et al., 1999), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and angiopoietin1 (ANG1) (Lee et al., 2003). But ECs also exert an effect on astrocytes, enhancing their growth and differentiation through the endothelium-derived leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (Mi et al., 2001). These signalling molecules will upregulate the endothelial enzyme γ-GTP (Mizuguchi et al., 1997), antioxidant enzymes in EC and astrocytes (Schroeter et al., 1999) and endothelial cAMP.

Particularly cAMP produces an immediate elevation of TJ resistance via relaxation of the actin cytoskeleton (Brightman & Kadota, 1992; Rubin et al., 1991). cAMP affects the phosphorylation of myosin light chain, allowing a more lax reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton; the resulting weaker rearrangement of actin produces a strengthening of the cell–cell contacts (Goeckeler & Wysolmerski, 1995).

Pericytes have been also reported to participate in the growth and function of the endothelium (Hellstrom et al., 2001). Furthermore microglia is necessary for the integrity of the BBB and contributes in signalling and transcellular transport processes (Lee et al., 2001; Pardridge, 1992).

**BBB physiology**

Nutrients, ions and other molecules cross the BBB by paracellular diffusion through the junctional complex or by the transcellular pathway across the cells (Figure 3).

**The paracellular pathway.** The paracellular pathway is a passive diffusion process that consists of the movement of lipophilic or low molecular mass solutes across the BBB, which depends on electrochemical, hydrostatic and osmotic gradient. This mechanism is structurally mediated by TJ and is solute concentration dependent. In terms of function, endothelial cytoskeleton contractility and adhesive forces drive the permeability of the junctional complex (Miller, 2002). A subtle and dynamic equilibrium between both forces determines one of the main blood-brain exchange processes. Nevertheless, the paracellular diffusion only plays a minor role in the brain-targeted drugs (Orthmann et al., 2011).

![Figure 3. Physiological crossing of the BBB. Representation of the paracellular route and transcellular routes such as carrier-mediated endocytosis, efflux pumps, receptor-mediated endocytosis and adsorptive-mediated transcytosis.](Mol Membr Biol Downloaded from informahealthcare.com by Universitat Pompeu Fabra on 09/10/14 For personal use only.)
The transcellular pathway. The transcellular pathway, which can be energy or non-energy dependent, includes transcellular diffusion across both the luminal and the abluminal membrane of the capillary endothelium (Engelhardt & Sorokin, 2009), receptor-mediated transcytosis, efflux transport system, endocytosis of positively charged molecules and carrier-mediated transport (Orthmann et al., 2011).

Receptor-mediated transcytosis. It is the main mechanism used by brain ECs to uptake molecules such as hormones or high molecular mass proteins such as insulin, leptin, low density lipoproteins, transferrin and IGF across the BBB (Duffy & Pardridge, 1987; Holly & Perks, 2006). This pathway is an active transport pathway that depends on temperature and can be saturated (Scherrmann, 2002). Receptor-ligand recognition promotes the formation of coated pits that eventually engulf the ligand by formation of an endocytic vesicle. The second step consists of an endosomal fusion that dissociates the receptor from the ligand (Scherrmann, 2002) and release the content by exocytosis. However, not all vesicles successfully cross the BBB since some fuse with lysosomes and their content is lost due to low pH and enzyme-mediated hydrolysis (Broadwell et al., 1988). It has been proposed that this is a target pathway for CNS drug delivery because it is neither size limited nor lipophilicity-dependent. In addition it is considered safe and effective due to its high specificity and the use of an entirely physiological mechanism.

The efflux transport system. It is an active transport process where distinct substrates are removed from the CNS and transferred to the systemic circulation in order to prevent the accumulation of compounds that have gone through the BBB (Loscher & Potschka, 2005; Newton, 2006). In certain situations, some drugs are able to overcome the BBB but they do not achieve therapeutically active concentrations because of efflux pumps that carry them from parenchyma to the luminal membrane and back to the systemic circulation.

The prototypic efflux transporter is the glycoprotein P (gp-P), a glycosylated member of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, expressed on the luminal membrane of the endothelial cells. The gp-P is included in the class of multidrug resistance receptors (MDRs) characterized for being an ATP-dependent remover of anticancer drugs, antibiotics, immune system suppressors or ionic channel modulators (Loscher & Potschka, 2005). In brain capillaries there is a high concentration of gp-P, where it plays a role in avoiding the accumulation of toxins or drugs in the brain, being essential to protect the neuron viability (Schinkel et al., 1994, 1996). However, there are other transporter classes that are expressed in brain such as monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) and organic anion transporters/organic anion transporter polypeptides (OAT/OATPs) (Lee et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2003). The latter, in contrast with ABC transporters, are an example of energy independent exchangers. Since they are unable to hydrolyze ATP they cannot transport a drug against its concentration gradient. OAT/OATPs tend to work as exchangers rather than pumps by using ion or substrate gradients. Therefore, their transport is bidirectional and relies on the drug concentration on both sides of the BBB (Fricker & Miller, 2004).

Charged compound interaction. Positively charged substances such as cationized albumin and histone interact with negatively charged components of the EC membrane allowing the adsorptive-mediated endocytosis to overcome the BBB (Kang & Pardridge, 1994; Pardridge, 1994). This biological pathway can be used to increase the delivery of conjugated drugs across the BBB as happen with β-endorphin conjugated to cationized albumin (Pardridge et al., 1990). The cationized proteins are obtained by increasing their isoelectric point from neutral to highly alkaline. This approach is also being tested with other proteins such as antibodies in order to be used as diagnostics, neuroimaging and as a treatment for mental diseases (Kang & Pardridge, 1994; Pardridge et al., 1990).

Carrier-mediated pathway. The carrier-mediated pathway is a saturable transport process that can be energy-dependent or independent, which mediates the exchange of substances between the systemic circulation and the brain parenchyma (Brasnjevic et al., 2009). These carriers are usually polarized and localized on both the luminal and abluminal membrane (Farrell & Pardridge, 1991). There are many carrier systems expressed in brain ECs in order to selectively vehicle a lot of molecules (Tamai & Tsuji, 2000), e.g. glucose is passively supplied through the GLUT-1 transporter by concentration gradient (Betz et al., 1983). In the case of amino acid, there are different and selective energy dependent carrier proteins, and it depends on the physicochemical properties of each amino acid. Large, neutral and aromatic amino acids are uptaken by the N- or L- system while small, neutral amino acids go through the A-system (Cancilla & DeBault, 1983; Pardridge & Oldendorf, 1975), the ASC system is responsible for the transport of sulphur-containing amino acids being the major transporter of cysteines. Finally, charged amino acids use ion channels, Na/K pumps or Na/Cl cotransporters (Mann et al., 2003).

BBB disruption in pathophysiological conditions. Under physiological conditions the junctional complex in the neurovascular system acts as a barrier that impairs the access of molecules and immune cells including monocytes, lymphocytes and other leukocytes. In a wide number of pathologies affecting the CNS, including infections or secondary inflammation, the integrity of the BBB is highly compromised and major membrane permeability changes occur: brain microvessels become more leaky and fluid extravasation generally produces brain oedema (Stamatovic et al., 2008).

This process is triggered by several groups of molecules: vasoactive agents such as histamine, substance P, endothelin-1 and bradikinin, growth factors including basic fibroblast growth factor and transforming growth factor β, and other inflammatory mediators such as cytokines, matrix metalloproteases, free radicals and lipids (Stamatovic et al., 2008). One of the most severe complications associated with Plasmodium falciparum infection is cerebral malaria (CM), which is due to the breakdown of the BBB.
(Adams et al., 2002) probably because of the action of cytokines such as TNF-α (Grau et al., 1989; Kwiatkowski, 1990). In ischemia and angiopathies BBB hyperpermeability and transient disruption can occur by action of thrombin, amyloid β-peptide, intracellular Ca²⁺ and immune system cells (Brown et al., 2004; Lee et al., 1997; Mackie et al., 1998). All these molecules and cell transendothelial migration alter the molecular distribution of the junctional complex, involving ZO-1 and occludin degradation, and actin cytoskeleton reorganization (Neuwelt, 2004). Adhesion molecules are responsible for the intracellular signalling that triggers functional changes in the endothelium. Brain ECs undergo modifications in surface protein expression, alteration in secretory function, reduced transendothelial electric resistance and increased leukocyte transmigration (Burns et al., 1997, 2000). These responses are mainly executed, after environmental changes, through cytoskeletal redistribution, junctional protein phosphorylation and alterations in calcium homeostasis (Couraud, 1998).

**In vitro BBB models in experimental research**

The use of purified bovine, rat, porcine and human brain endothelial cells and several immortalized cell lines have been employed to develop *in vitro* BBB models (Reinhardt & Gloor, 1997) as an alternative to *in vivo* models with animals. The *in vitro* assays raise less bioethical concerns than *in vivo* models and offer a more controlled experimental system as well as they produce significant economical savings.

The *in vitro* model must reproduce functional and structural BBB properties, and therefore, has to preserve endothelial cell morphology with high expression of junctional proteins, selective permeability, expression of efflux transporters and maintenance of a high TEER as a marker of BBB maturity and integrity (Booth & Kim, 2012). They can vary widely in complexity ranging from simple monolayers of cells to co-cultures of endothelial cells with slices of cortical tissue. Moreover the co-cultures that closely mimic the *in vivo* situation are those performed by culturing brain capillary endothelial cells on one side of a filter and astrocytes on the other.

Several barrier models employ cell lines that are not even of brain origin, such as Madin-Darby canine kidney, Caco-2 cell line from human colon carcinoma and ECV304, a cell line with mixed characteristics from endothelium and epithelium (Prieto et al., 2004). Although positive results have been achieved for passive diffusion assays, these cells that are not derived from brain endothelium may lack specific transporters or metabolic pathways (Polli, 2000). Currently, cultures of brain ECs are used to assay drug neurotoxicity and barrier permeability but the method is limited due to slow cell growth and easy contamination by other cell types (Brown et al., 2007). Up to date, rat brain EC monolayer culture is the simplest *in vitro* cell screening experiment and has proved to be useful in early stages of drug development (Garberg et al., 2005). However, co-culture models have demonstrated to be more suitable since their permeability is more selective (Reinhardt & Gloor, 1997). Two main approaches are available to obtain BBB-inducing brain capillary ECs (BCECs), the co-culture of BCECs with glial cells or the culture of BCECs in medium from glial and endothelial co-culture.

A pathological *in vitro* model resembling a drug resistant epileptic BBB has been developed as an alternative approach to assess drug permeability *in vitro* (Cucullo et al., 2007). The model was based on a primary cell culture obtained by biopsy of an epileptic patient that had undergone surgical intervention. The general aim of using pathological models of BBB is to screen drugs that may have different permeability due to disease-altered BBB. Other alternatives to *in vitro* studies being considered use microfluidic *in vitro* models of BBB (Booth & Kim, 2012), and cells derived from invertebrates such as *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Locusta migratoria* or from zebrafish (Geldenhuys et al., 2012). In the particular case of the above-mentioned cerebral malaria, primary cultures of porcine brain capillary endothelial cells have been used as *in vitro* BBB model (Treeratanapiboon et al., 2005).

**CNS drug delivery**

There are many drugs that could have a therapeutic effect in CNS pathologies but their application is limited due to their pharmacokinetics. There are different strategies to cross or to by-pass the BBB that can be grouped as invasive and non-invasive techniques (Figures 4 and 5).

**Invasive techniques**

*BBB modification*. Brain endothelial junctional complexes avoid the access of drugs through the paracellular pathway. Modification of the BBB permeability can disrupt the junctional complexes and increase drug uptake in brain in a transient and reversible manner, avoiding an extended opening of the barrier which would lead to neurophatomological changes, cerebral vasculopathy and seizures (Miller, 2002). This strategy has been applied to ensure the delivery of drugs...
Biochemical disruption. In vivo hyperosmotic shock can be used to open the BBB: Osmotic, biochemical, alkylglycerols (AKG) or ultrasound.

Hyperosmotic shock. Hyperosmotic shock can be used to open the junctional complexes temporarily and reversibly to increase drug permeability. It is carried out by the infusion of highly concentrated saccharide solutions into the carotid artery to reach the CNS (Kroll & Neuwelt, 1998). Brain ECs shrink under hypertonic environment due to the osmotic pressure that forces the water to exit. When the cells decrease in volume, small holes are generated at the tight junctions that permit the access of water-soluble drugs (Greenwood et al., 1988). In anticancer therapy, mannitol is employed as a hyperosmolar agent to facilitate the delivery of cyclophosphamide, procarbazine and methotrexate (Doolittle et al., 1998). However, it has been reported that hypertonic infusion of mannitol in rat brain produced neuronal damage, alteration of glucose uptake, expression of heat shock proteins and microembolisms (Salahuddin et al., 1988).

Biochemical disruption. In vivo and in vitro studies of BBB pathological conditions have demonstrated that many vasoactive agents such as histamine, bradykinin and other molecules such as solvents, stabilizers or adjuvants increase BBB permeability (Matsukado et al., 1996). The signalling pathway of these molecules generally converges to the phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of the junctional complex proteins (Stamatovic et al., 2008). These biochemical changes in protein phosphorylation relax the cell–cell contact facilitating paracellular drug access. Alkermes Incorporation developed a synthetic bradykinin analog, Cereport (RMP-7), that increases cyclic GMP levels through binding to the cerebrovascular bradykinin B2 receptor, transiently disrupts the tight junctions in brain endothelial cells, and increases brain permeability (Bartus et al., 2000; Emerich et al., 2000).

Furthermore, polysorbate 80 (PS80)-coated PBCA-NPs concentration-dependent, triggers the reversible opening of the BBB after 3–4 h (Rempe et al., 2011). The BBB is regenerated after 6–10 h accompanied by normalized cell morphology and probably supported by an upregulation of claudin-5 (Hwang & Kim, 2014).

AKGs. Recent studies with anticancer agents have been carried out in a rat glioma tumour model after systemic administration of various AKGs (Erdelenbruch et al., 2003). The result was an increased delivery of the drug to the site comparable to the levels of drug uptake after hyperosmotic shock and far greater than upon biochemical disruption (Erdelenbruch et al., 2003). However, the mechanism involved in AKG function is not yet understood but many clues reveal a possible interaction with receptor located in the endothelium. This hypothesis is based on the knowledge that the barrier disruption is concentration- and structure-dependent, typical of receptor-mediated responses and it has been demonstrated that the alkyl group length and the number of glycerols determine the degree of BBB disruption (Patel et al., 2009).

Ultrasound and electromagnetic radiation disruption. Ultrasounds are able to specifically open local BBB regions, in contrast to the methods mentioned above. Two different, transient and reversible approaches are available to improve drug uptake: Thermal, which induces a mild hyperthermia (Cho et al., 2002) and non-thermal, based on physical cavitation of the membrane (Vykhotseva et al., 2008). Since hyperthermia has proved to increase membrane permeability, thermal site-directed opening of the BBB is contemplated as a possible strategy to enhance CNS drug delivery (Cho et al., 2002). The advantage of this technique is that it is site-specific and thus diminishes the risks inherent to a generalized BBB opening (Madsen & Hirschberg, 2010).

Surgical approaches. Direct local drug infusion is considered one of the simplest methods of brain drug delivery and is commonly used in emergency situations where the agent must reach urgently the brain, but there is a limitation due to the slow diffusion coefficient of the drugs. Drug diffusion depends on the location of the drug administration, liposolubility, molecular mass, polarity and tissue affinity. There are different pathways of direct injection: To the brain parenchyma by intracerebral implant or into the CSF via intraventricular infusion or intrathecal administration.

Intracerebral administration. This strategy has proved to be inefficient and clinically limited. In addition to the obvious need of surgical intervention, drug diffusion is strictly dependent on molecular mass and drug concentration in brain parenchyma is limited by rapid cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) exchange. Intracerebral administration would only be useful if the target sites were adjacent to the ventricles or on the parenchyma surface (Brasnjevic et al., 2009; Scheld, 1989).

Intraventricular and intrathecal administration. Intrathecal or intraventricular administration consists of a direct delivery of
drug to the CSF bypassing the BBB and blood-CSF barrier. The procedure can be done by direct injection piercing the skull and into the ventricles or through lumbar puncture, both of them with a considerable associated risk. The ventricular system is connected with the brain parenchyma and thus any compound dissolved in the CSF will be able to diffuse and reach nearby CNS structures. Theoretically, solutes in the CSF are freely transported into the brain but experimentally not many successful results have been obtained (Blasberg et al., 1975). This is because physiologically the CSF tends to move in a direction opposite to that of the drug infusion. In addition, CSF replacement is surgically the CSF tends to move in a direction opposite to that of the drug infusion. In addition, CSF replacement is surgically done in a few hours needing a quick and continuous infusion of drugs with controlled extended release devices or formulations (Patel et al., 2009).

**Microchips.** Microchip delivery of drugs by implanting solid-state electronic silicon devices into the brain has been proposed as a way to deliver precise quantities of drugs under specific physiological conditions (Santini et al., 1999). There are studies for the brain tumors treatment using a micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) technology to deliver drugs with precise temporal control over release kinetics. The device is a liquid crystalline polymer reservoir, capped by a MEMS microchip (Masi et al., 2012). And also resorbable, a multi-reservoir polymer microchip drug delivery system has been tested against a tumor model (Kim et al., 2007).

**Non-invasive techniques**

**Medicinal chemistry approach**

**Chemical modification of the drug.** Chemical modification in drug design is a widely used approach to ameliorate physicochemical properties of the compound in order to achieve expected pharmacokinetics. It consists of an active compound, the parent drug, which is reversibly modified to generate the prodrug. Once the prodrug reaches the target site *in vivo* the parent drug is released by chemical or enzymatic cleavage and then, exerts its biological effect (Ettmayer et al., 2004; Rautio et al., 2008). The general strategy to generate prodrugs is to increase the molecule lipophilicity or to reduce the ability to bind hydrogen (Patel et al., 2009). It is carried out attaching lipophilic moieties to the molecules and often to the polar functional groups since these are limiting factors of BBB permeability. The outcome of these chemical modifications usually increases the uptake in brain, although increased lipophilicity also implies higher uptake in peripheral tissues (Witt et al., 2001). For instance, to have a leptin capable of penetrating the BBB made a fusion protein; Tat-modified leptin is more accessible to hypothalamus through BBB with a significant inhibition of body-weight gain in high-fat-diet fed mice (Zhang et al., 2010).

**Fusion proteins or cell penetrating peptides.** Cell penetrating peptides (CPP) are short amphipathic cationic peptides that facilitate rapid internalization of exogenous cargo like proteins, nucleic acids, liposomes, or nanoparticles (Lalatsa et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2014). It is not very well known the mechanism by which these peptides can cross the cell membrane. One of the first CPP described was the transactivator of transcription (TAT) from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1), followed by other natural CPPs (AntP/Penetratin), the Syn B vectors (family of vectors derived from the antimicrobial peptide protegrin 1) (Lalatsa et al., 2014) or synthetic origin (Mastoparan/transportan) (Sharma et al., 2014).

TAT is a peptide which contains a basic region of six arginine and two lysine residues. These basic amino acids seem to be the key to its highly efficient membrane translocation (Lai et al., 2013). Recently, it has been described the neuroprotective effect of TAT-14-3-3ε fusion protein against brain ischemic injury through inhibiting neuronal apoptosis and autophagic activation (Zhu et al., 2014). The SynB peptides are a family of CPPs that show charge-mediated BBB selectivity, with uptake proceeding via a caveolae-independent pathway (Lalatsa et al., 2014). SynB peptides have been used as a cationic CPPs for low molecular weight compounds and for peptides suchs as dalargin (Lalatsa et al., 2014). Penetratin, a CPP with a low content of basic amino acids, has been used to functionalize PEG-PLA nanoparticles, and enhance the cellular accumulation (Lalatsa et al., 2014).

Mastoparan is a 14-residue peptide from wasp venom and has been used in the construction of 21 residue peptide Transportan 10 (TP10) which delivers its cargo into the cells (Sharma et al., 2014).

Other kind of fusion proteins have been developed, such as the fusion of the neurotrophin glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and the anti-inflammatory agent type II tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) decoy receptor with the heavy chain of a chimeric monoclonal antibody (MAB) against the mouse transferrin receptor (TIR). These fusion proteins enhance the therapeutic effect of both compounds in an acute stroke situation (Sumbria et al., 2013).

**Drug delivery through microspheres and biodegradable wafers.** Microsphere technology has recently been implemented by using lipid-based polymeric devices to increase drug retention in the brain (Dang et al., 1994). The active water-soluble macromolecule agent is conjugated and loaded into tiny spheres that can be inserted into the brain through stereotactic surgery (Batczyk et al., 1997). This method is easier and safer than the methods exposed before since there is no need for open surgery and less damage is done around the implemented area.

Biodegradable wafers are also being studied for the local delivery of drugs into the brain. An example of biodegradable polymer is the poly(anhydride poly[bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane:sebacic acid)] (PCCP:SA) (Leong et al., 1985), which offers a controlled drug release through polymer degradation. Adequate modifications in polymer composition and proportion can offer a range of delivery from days to years (Patel et al., 2009). The main drawback of this method is that some drugs have limited diffusion in brain parenchyma, which implies that the drug-polymer complex must be administered near the target.
Alternative methods

Intranasal administration. Intranasal administration is an alternative non-invasive method to deliver drugs to the CNS. Therapeutically active compounds are absorbed via sensorial neurons located in the olfactory bulb and delivered to the CNS through the CSF of the olfactory region. Clinical trials in humans have demonstrated this method viable and concluded that cerebral drug diffusion is done intraneuronally and/or extraneuronally (Illum, 2000). Small lipid soluble molecules have been assessed as in AD treatment with BDNF, achieving successful delivery (Thorne et al., 1995). Drug uptake through this pathway depends much on the molecular mass of the drug and is proportional to the drug lipophilicity (Thorne & Frey, 2001). However, there are many physiological variables that may condition the administration such as higher pH, high enzymatic activity of the epithelium, mucosal irritation or even nasal pathologies such as a simple cold (Patel et al., 2009). Recently there are some studies which try to facilitate the brain delivery of the intranasal nano-sized micelles modifying them with TAT peptide in brain tumours (Taki et al., 2012).

The advantages that this pathway offers are that it is a non-invasive technique and drugs are able to bypass the first-pass metabolism. Since this method is limited by drug’s lipophilicity colloidal nanocarriers can be implemented to mask hydrophilic molecules and offer a wider range of molecules to be used in CNS disorders treatment (Tiwari & Amiji, 2006).

Molecular Trojan horses. Molecular Trojan horses (TH) are vectors able to bind specific receptors while carrying drugs which do not accept chemical modifications allowing them to cross BBB. The complex TH-drug is called a chimeric peptide because of its mixed structure and double functionality, targeted transport (TH) and pharmacological activity (drug). In this way drugs that do not tolerate chemical modifications can be systemically administered and correctly delivered to the brain since the vector-receptor is specific and once at position it is transported across the BBB. One of the most studied targets for TH-drug method is the insulin receptor due to its ubiquity in brain vessels (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013).

Genetic engineering. Implanting inside the brain a living tissue that expresses and secretes the therapeutic molecule has been tested, and positive results were obtained in Parkinson’s disease treatment (Madrid et al., 1991). Recently, neural stem cells (NSC) were implanted into the hippocampus of an Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and Down syndrome model mice to change the levels of tau/reelin-positive granules (Kern et al., 2011). Another example is the neurotransplantation in mice nucleus accumbens of stem cells which expressed the human dopamine receptor to modify alcohol consumption (Grammatopoulos et al., 2010). However, implanted cells do not survive unlimitedly since there is no revascularization around the tissue. Promising solutions from genetic engineering were assessed in order to obtain different cell types that secrete the desired agent with a longer survival rate, such as the co-grafting engineered neurotrophic factor-producing cells with engineered therapeutic agent producing cells (Leigh et al., 1994). More recently another study tried to solve that problem doing a co-transplantation with helper cells to offer trophic support (Liang et al., 2013).

Liposomes, nanopolymers, nanoparticles and solid lipid nanoparticles. Liposomes, nanopolymers, nanoparticles and solid lipid nanoparticles are carriers that can be administrated parenterally facilitating the delivery of drugs to the brain.

Liposomes. Liposomes (LP) are lipid bilayer-based artificial vesicle that imitates biological membrane with different size, 20–5000 nm, and number of lipid bilayers, being unilamellar or multilamellar (Lasic, 1998) (Figure 6). By using cholesterol and phosphatidylcholine they offer an internal hydrophilic environment that can facilitate the drug delivery across BBB. LP-encapsulated drugs have a lower volume of distribution compared to free drugs due to their inclusion in the liposomes. Cholesterol and high-phase transition lipid are added into the formulation to stabilize the lipid bilayer and

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the three different types of liposomes. Small Unilamellar Vesicles (SUV), Large Unilamellar Vesicles (LUV) and Multilamellar (MLV).
provide a non-leaky transport system (Drummond et al., 1999).

Since LPs are highly lipophilic they are rapidly eliminated from circulation by the macrophages from reticuloendothelial system (RES) predominantly form liver and spleen (Drummond et al., 1999; Frank, 1993). In order to avoid the RES and to increase bioavailability they usually undergo surface modification with polyethylene glycol (PEG) to diminish their lipophilicity (Ricci et al., 2006). Many modifications are possibly done on the LPs due to their similar nature to the cellular membranes. Specific active targeting can be achieved by attaching mAbs to the liposomal surface (Zhang et al., 2004). The recognition by a receptor in the BBB promotes the internalization as a molecular TH. Other modification to improve the crossing of the BBB is the covalent conjugation of the cell-penetrating peptide TAT (AYGRKKRRQRRR) to the cholesterol part of liposomes, for example in the treatment of brain glioma animals (Qin et al., 2011). They have been tested even for genetic therapy (Craparo et al., 2011).

Nanopolymers and nanoparticles. Nanoscale delivery systems are increasing their importance because they offer a relatively drug nature-independent transport due to their ability to mask the physicochemical properties of the content (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013). Generally, nanotechnology-based drugs delivery is found in oral, topical and injected formulation to take advantage of current administration methods. The main pathway in which the subsequent delivery systems overcome the BBB is mainly by BCECs uptake employing adsorptive-mediated endocytosis (De Jong & Borm, 2008).

Colloidal carriers, nanocarriers and nanovehicles are polymer-based delivery system in which their main characteristic is their size that ranges from 10–1000 nm. Beside their reduced size, a considerable volume of single or various compounds can be contained in them, uptaken by BCECs and reach the CNS (Brasnjevic et al., 2009). Currently, many biotechnological and pharmaceutical companies have approved nanotechnology-based delivery systems but only a few efficient drug-loading methods exist making it the principal limiting factor prior commercialization (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013). The last step of the delivery process is drug release which is as crucial as the BBB crossing itself. These nanoscaled transport system also intend to improve drugs bioavailability and pharmacokinetics, thus melhorate the therapeutic index and safety profile. They generally offer a sustained release and constant levels of drug in plasma over the time. Several non-excluding mechanisms are available depending on the nature of the nanocarrier and the timing wanted for the drug, which are: drug desorption, drug diffusion from the container and polymeric matrix erosion (Jain, 2007).

Polymer-based nanocarriers are macromolecules formed by multiple repetitions of single structural units to yield dendrimers and polymeric micelles (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013). The former usually have a size under 50 nm and have a branched organization consisting of multiple ramifications coming out from a central core (Wu et al., 2006). Branch terminations conforms the dendrimeric surface while internally the spaces between the branches are filled to drugs, radionuclides or agents used in image analysis. Once across the BBB a physiological and controlled degradation of the polymer releases the compounds in the brain parenchyma (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013).

As for polymeric micelles, ‘‘biocompatible amphiphilic block copolymers’’ are the structural units that constitute them, forming a rounded-shape consisting of a core and a shell with a size range from 50–100 nm. These polymeric micelles have a hydrophobic internal core that contains the desired compound to be delivered surrounded by a hydrophilic shell (Olivier, 2005). These micelles are spontaneously formed in aqueous solution when a critical micelle concentration is achieved.

Nanoparticles (NPs) delivery system is solid colloidal particles with dimensions within the nanometric scale (Olivier, 2005; Orthmann et al., 2011). Similar to polymeric nanocarriers it is a macromolecule build up by repetitions of simple structural units consisting of biocompatible and GRAS ingredients. An advantage to LPs is their increased stability but they are also conditioned by its composition and by temperature, pH, electrolyte composition, size and steric hydrance (Kreuter, 1994).

In nanoparticulate systems, drugs are loaded by dissolution, capture, encapsulation, absorption or covalently conjugated (Avgoustakis, 2004). In comparison with LPs, NPs require less excipients providing an easier formulation process and in terms of pharmacokinetic parameters they have higher stability and permits a more controlled drug release (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013). Two types of NPs are mainly used nowadays: Nanocapsules and nanospheres (Patel et al., 2009). The former has a core shell structure where the drug is contained inside while the latter has a continuous matrix structure where the drug is dissolved. The common nomenclature used in literature to designate both systems is NP since not always the difference is clear enough.

Some of the compounds already approved by the FDA are poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and its copolymers poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) (Brasnjevic et al., 2009). Biological polymers are also used in NP fabrication to prevent toxicity issues associated to synthetic polymers. A commonly used, if not the most, poly(butyl)cyanoacrylate (PBCA) polymer-based NP has been used to deliver antineoplastic drugs, peptides and analgesics to the CNS, either by adsorption to surface or incorporation. The characteristic that differentiates it from other is its rapid biodegradability. The outcome of these PBCA NPs was favourable (Kreuter et al., 2002). Other compounds used are poly(alkylcyanoacrylates) (PACs) that includes poly(butyl-cyanoacrylate) (PBCA) and poly(hexylcyanoacrylate) (PHCA), poly(methylidene malonate), poly(methyl)methacrylate (PMMA), acrylic copolymers and polyesters (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013).

As in LPs, the surface of the NP can be modified chemically or biochemically with functional groups (PEG) or mAbs to target specific types of cells or tissues in order to improve bioavailability, to increase diffusion and even to protect it from enzymatic inactivation (Brigger et al., 2002). Other strategy is conjugate the nanoparticles to TAT to enhance the CNS bioavailability of the encapsulated drug (Rao et al., 2008). In fact, there are also olymeric micelles...
anchored with TAT for delivery of antibiotics across BBB (Liu et al., 2008). Many studies have been published about NPs and how subtle modifications can make a difference in the delivery.

**Solid lipid nanoparticles.** In spite of their lipidic nature, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) are not classified as LPs neither as NPs (Montenegro et al., 2012). Structurally, SLNs are solid lipid based as the ones used in the food industry and are stabilized with common emulsifiers such as polysorbates, poloxamers and bile salts. They are a novel drug delivery system developed to solve issues attending polymeric NPs, although they maintain properties such as controlled drug release, increased drug stability and the success of overcoming different administration routes (eyes, topical, oral and parenteral). Since they are lipid-based they present a lower cytotoxicity, an easier preparation protocol and have an improved stability and drug release (Blasi et al., 2007; Denora et al., 2009). Brain-targeted SLN have been developed by coating the surface with thiamine that increased the unidirectional uptake transfer constant to the BBB at different brain perfusion intervals. Th SLNs conjugated with transferrin have shown a great potential in the treatment of brain diseases such as cerebral malaria (Crapparo et al., 2011).

**Elimination and clearance of LP, NP and SNP.** LP and NP and generally all compounds that have a highly lipophilic nature are rapidly eliminated from the bloodstream or accumulated in different organs such as liver (60–90%), lungs (3–20%), spleen (2–10%) and bone marrow (<1%) (Kreuter, 1994). Removal of the nanocarriers is mediated by conjugation to plasma proteins or opsonisation. Recognition and sequestration by Kupffer cells in the liver and macrophages of the RES limits their half-lives to 2–3 min if no modification is made (Bazile et al., 1992). The elimination process consists of an initial opsonisation followed by a rapid uptake done by a mononuclear phagocytic system. However, RES behavior depends much on physicochemical properties of the transporting molecule such as size and surface properties including hydrophobicity and charge (Denora et al., 2009). In order to impair the rapid elimination of LP, NP and SLN there are different strategies which consist in chemical modifications, mainly cationization and PEGylation.

**Targeted drug delivery.** An efficient drug targeted delivery can reduce considerably the dose of drug needed and in consequence improve the safety in vivo, which is the major point in drug’s commercialization. The strategy consists of attaching ligands that are specifically recognized by BCECs receptors such as sugar residues, folic acid or even engineered mAbs (Lockman et al., 2002; Mishra et al., 2006). Targeting moieties can be attached directly on the surface of the carrier system or on the external end of the PEG if it is PEGylated, forming what is known as third generation carriers (Vlieghe & Khrestchatisky, 2013).

Each nanotechnology-based delivery systems can also be subclassified into first, second or third generation depending strictly on their surface modifications. LPs, NPs and SLNs that has not undergone any surface modifications are considered the first generation. If they do present modifications such as PEGylation or other stabilizing methods to reduce plasmatic clearance then they are known as second generation or also named stealth carrier. The latter denomination surges from the ability of modifications such as PEGylation that permits the delivery system to evade macrophages of the RES. At last, third generation or targeted sterically stabilized delivery systems apart from improved PK from the second generation can also target specific organs or cell-types.

Preclinical data in brain cancer models indicate that PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin with an additional glutathione (GSH) coating can significantly reduce brain tumor growth (Gaillard, 2011). Other strategy could be the design of glucosyl-lysosome ligands, which are able to cross BBB by GLUT1 as drug carriers in targeting delivery system (Qu et al., 2014). Glucosyl modified liposomes showed potential application with brain targeting, high transfer efficiency, good in vivo cycling stability and easy preparation (Qu et al., 2014). Surface modification of liposomes with CPPs facilitates endosomal escape and increases their cellular delivery (Sharma et al., 2014). There are studies combining CPPs with Transferrin (Tf)-liposomes which result in biocompatible formulations leading to efficient translocation of doxorubicina across cellular and brain endothelial barriers both in vitro and in vivo (Sharma et al., 2014).

Lactoferrin (Lf), a single-chain iron-binding glycoprotein, is part of Tf family which can penetrate the BBB via receptor-mediated transcytosis (Huang et al., 2013). Some studies developed a doxorubicin-loaded lactoferrin-modified proctionic liposome delivery system and evaluated its therapeutic effect for glioma (Chen et al., 2011). Other studies focused on developing dual-targeting daunorubicin PEG-liposomes by conjugating vesicles with p-aminophenyla-L-manno-pyrosiside (MAN) and transferrin (TF) (Lai et al., 2013; Ying et al., 2010). The ligand MAN plays a major role in transporting the liposomes across the BBB while TF acts as a main role in targeting brain glioma cells (Lai et al., 2013).

Although the main aim of targeted LPs and NPs is to offer an organ-specific uptake many efforts have gone beyond and conferred drug carriers the ability to aim an additional target once inside the CNS. The secondary target is achieved by three strategies: Dual targeting, sequential targeting and selective action targeting. The dual targeting approach employs a single targeting moiety that has its receptor expressed at the BBB and at the desired site of action. Sequential targeting exerts its function through the combination of two targeting ligands. The first one directs the compound to the brain while the other one is responsible for cell specificity inside the brain. At last, selective action targeting is used to achieve cell-type specificity. This targeting method shares common features with the pro-drug approach. The delivered drug, once reaching the brain is not active until a cell-type specific enzyme cleaves and releases the drug. Since the enzymes are restricted to a certain subpopulation of cells only these will be under the effect of the therapeutic compound (Zhang et al., 2002).

In addition, nanoparticulate vectors of drugs also can be chemically modified to increase cellular uptake and the potential delivery in different cell compartments (Juillerat-Jeannet, 2008). Anti-cancer agents have been
loaded in nanocontainers conjugated with ligands targeting the BBB to enhance selectivity for brain cancers (Soni et al., 2005).

Conclusions

The BBB is a highly organized structure where the microenvironment created by the contact between ECs, astrocytes, pericytes and neurons confers the special characteristics. A plethora of proteins are involved in the maintenance of the impermeability to the systemic circulation allowing the crossing to specific molecules in a regulated ratio. But the current challenge for the neurological treatments of age-associated diseases, cancer or other CNS pathologies is the delivery of drugs crossing the BBB and reaching their cellular targets inside the brain. It has to be considered that despite the great effort to develop efficient targeting and delivery systems, many other limitations must be addressed. Efficient brain targeting to brain receptors may not necessarily deliver the drugs across the BBB and inside does not ensure correct parenchymal diffusion not to mention a possible trigger of immunological responses. Nowadays different approaches are been developed using classical pharmacology and nanotechnology to achieve it and there are successful results to presume that soon there will be a new generation of drugs delivery system working properly.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria P11/00408; Red HERACLES RD12/0042/0014 & RD12/0042/0020; BIO2011-25039); FEDER Funds; Generalitat de Catalunya (SGR09-1369 and SGR09-760); and Fundació la Caixa (SGR09-0020; BIO2011-25039); FEDER Funds; Generalitat de Catalunya (SGR09-1369 and SGR09-760); and Fundació la Marató de TV3 (100310).

References


